

Some notes on the Kruskal - Szekeres completion.

Kayll Lake [*]

Department of Physics, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada, K7L 3N6

(Dated: May 13, 2010)

The Kruskal - Szekeres (KS) completion of the Schwarzschild spacetime is open to Synge's methodological criticism that the KS procedure generates "good" coordinates from "bad". This is addressed here in two ways: First I generate the KS coordinates from Israel coordinates, which are also "good", and then I generate the KS coordinates directly from a streamlined integration of the Einstein equations.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Cv, 04.20.Jb, 04.20.Gz

There can hardly be any modern introduction to general relativity that does not discuss the Kruskal [2] - Szekeres [3] (KS) completion of the Schwarzschild spacetime. Both these papers make reference to an earlier work by Synge [4]. Whereas the Kruskal reference regards only a reference by Synge to a lecture given by H. P. Robertson in Toronto in 1939 (regarding the singular structure of the Schwarzschild spacetime), Szekeres states that the now famous coordinate transformations "are essentially due to Synge". Indeed, after considerable labor, Synge arrives at a complete understanding of what has become known as the "Kruskal diagram" (see his Figure 8). Years later, and curiously without reference to his earlier work, Synge [5] viewed the KS procedure as open to methodological criticism in that defective coordinates were used to generate regular coordinates. This lead him in [5] to develop KS coordinates directly from Einstein's equations. Whereas the KS procedure is standard in most modern texts, a few do follow Synge's direct approach [6]. In this pedagogical note, heeding Synge's criticism, I generate KS coordinates from Israel coordinates and I also generate the KS coordinates directly from a streamlined integration of the Einstein equations.

As shown elsewhere [7], a direct integration of the Einstein equations gives the following complete covering of the Schwarzschild manifold:

$$ds^2 = \frac{2w^2}{8M^2 - uw} du^2 - 2dudw + (2M - \frac{uw}{4M})^2 d\Omega_2^2, \quad (1)$$

where M is a constant and $d\Omega_2^2$ is the metric of a unit two-sphere ($d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$). This form was first given by Israel [8] by way of coordinate transformations. The coordinates u and w in (1) have dimensions of length. It is convenient to introduce dimensionless coordinates $\tilde{u}$ and $\tilde{w}$ defined as follows:

$$u \equiv M\tilde{u}, \quad w \equiv 8M\tilde{w} \quad (2)$$

where $M > 0$ and the number 8 is introduced purely as a matter of convenience. Under the transformations (2) we obtain

$$ds^2 = (2M)^2 d\tilde{s}^2 \quad (3)$$

where

$$d\tilde{s}^2 = \frac{4w^2}{1 - uw} du^2 - 4dudw + (1 - uw)^2 d\Omega_2^2 \quad (4)$$

and we have dropped the $\tilde{}$ on u and w in (4). Trajectories with tangents $k^\alpha = \delta_w^\alpha$ (constant u, θ and ϕ) are radial null geodesics affinely parameterized by w , which we take increasing to the future. Trajectories associated with the vector field $l^\alpha = \delta_u^\alpha$ (constant w, θ and ϕ , and we take u also increasing along the associated trajectory) are radial null geodesics (affinely parameterized by u) only for $w = 0$. More generally, for $w \neq 0$,

$$m^\alpha \equiv (\frac{1 - uw}{w}, w, 0, 0) \quad (5)$$

satisfies $m^\alpha m_\alpha = m^\beta \nabla_\beta m^\alpha = 0$. The associated null geodesics can be written as

$$uw = \ln(\frac{w}{\delta}) \quad (6)$$

where δ is a constant.

The null geodesics given by (6) can be rewritten as

$$w = -\frac{\mathcal{L}(-u\delta)}{u} \quad (7)$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the Lambert W function [9]. Since δ labels individual radial null geodesics, relation (7) suggests the introduction of the null coordinate v defined by [10]

$$w = -\frac{\mathcal{L}}{u}, \quad (8)$$

where, and henceforth,

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{L}(-\frac{uv}{e}). \quad (9)$$

The resultant coordinate transformation is

$$v = we^{1-uw}, \quad (10)$$

which is well defined at $w = 0$. Under this transformation the metric (4) takes the form

$$d\tilde{s}^2 = \frac{-4}{(1 + \mathcal{L})e^{1+\mathcal{L}}} dudv + (1 + \mathcal{L})^2 d\Omega_2^2 \quad (11)$$

where (3) still holds. This is the Kruskal - Szekeres representation. Trajectories with tangents $\mathcal{K}^\alpha = e^{\mathcal{L}}(1 + \mathcal{L})\delta_v^\alpha$ (constant $u = u_0, \theta$ and ϕ) are radial null geodesics given by

$$v(\lambda) = \lambda e^{-\frac{u_0 \lambda}{e}} \quad (12)$$

where λ is an affine parameter (defined, of course, only up to a linear transformation) and we note the expansion

$$\nabla_\alpha \mathcal{K}^\alpha = \frac{-2u_0}{e(1 + \mathcal{L})}. \quad (13)$$

Trajectories with tangents $\mathcal{M}^\alpha = e^{\mathcal{L}}(1 + \mathcal{L})\delta_u^\alpha$ (constant $v = v_0, \theta$ and ϕ) are radial null geodesics given by

$$u(\lambda) = \lambda e^{-\frac{v_0 \lambda}{e}} \quad (14)$$

and we now note the expansion

$$\nabla_\alpha \mathcal{M}^\alpha = \frac{-2v_0}{e(1 + \mathcal{L})}. \quad (15)$$

On the horizons $u = 0$ and $v = 0$ then v and u are affine parameters. The only singularity in (11) occurs for $\mathcal{L} = -1$. That is, $uv = 1$.

Let us now proceed more directly, streamlining the procedure pioneered by Synge [5]. Let us write

$$ds^2 = -f du dv + r^2 \Omega_2^2, \quad (16)$$

where f and r are functions of u and v . The vanishing of the Ricci tensor requires [11]

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial v} = -B(1 - \frac{2M}{r}) \quad (17)$$

and

$$f = 2B \frac{\partial r}{\partial u} \quad (18)$$

where B is an arbitrary function of v and again M is a constant. The solution to (17) is

$$r(u, v) = 2M(\mathcal{L}(\psi) + 1) \quad (19)$$

where

$$\psi = -\frac{\exp(-\frac{1}{2M}(\int B dv + C + 2M))}{2M} \quad (20)$$

and C is an arbitrary function of u . To achieve the range $0 < r < \infty$ the functions B and C are not arbitrary. Rather, up to disposable constants, the unique choices are

$$B = -\frac{2M}{v} \quad (21)$$

and

$$C = -2M \ln(2Mu). \quad (22)$$

With (21) and (22), (16) reduces to (3) with $d\tilde{s}^2$ given by (11).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. Portions of this work were made possible by use of *GRTensorII* [12].

[*] Electronic Address: lake@astro.queensu.ca

[2] M. Kruskal, Phys. Rev., **119**, 1743 (1960).

[3] G. Szekeres, Gen. Rel. Grav., **34**, 2001 (2002) (Reprinted from Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen **7**, 285 (1960)).

[4] J. L. Synge, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad., **50**, 83 (1950).

[5] J. L. Synge, Annali di Matematica, **98**, 239 (1974).

[6] See, for example, S. Chandrasekhar, *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes* (Oxford University Press, 1983), and H. A. Buchdahl, *Seventeen Simple Lectures on General Relativity Theory* (John Wiley and Sons, 1981).

[7] K. Lake, Class. Quantum Grav., **23**, 5883 (2006), [arXiv:gr-qc/0507031v2]. We use geometrical units and a signature of +2. Note that the sign of u has been reversed here in accord with current common convention.

[8] W. Israel, Phys. Rev., **143**, 1016 (1966).

[9] This is defined by $\mathcal{L}(x)e^{\mathcal{L}(x)} = x$. See, for example, R. M. Corless, G. H. Gonnet, D. E. G. Hare, D. J. Jeffrey, and D. E. Knuth, Advances in Computational Mathematics **5**, 329 (1996).

[10] Our choice of scale for v , though somewhat inconvenient, reflects common usage.

[11] The metric (16) is, of course, symmetric in u and v . The symmetric counterparts to (17) and (18) are not needed.

[12] This is a package which runs within Maple. It is entirely distinct from packages distributed with Maple and must be obtained independently. The GRTensorII software and documentation is distributed freely on the World-Wide-Web from the address <http://grtensor.org>